

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONCIDATATION |
|--|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 09/942,830 | 08/30/2001 | Johannes Wilhelmus Maria Sonnemans | ACH2823US | CONFIRMATION NO. 9198 |
| 7590 12/09/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Louis A. Morris Akzo Nobel Inc. | | | NGUYEN, TAM M | |
| 7 Livingstone Avenue Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522 | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| Dobos refry, N | 1 10522 | | 1764 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004 | · |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/942,830 | SONNEMANS ET AL. | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Tam M. Nguyen | 1764 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applic | cation. A proper reply to a | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTAGE. | | | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more | en SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the statutory period for the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for th | the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under | | | | |
| 1. ☑ A Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 October 2004. | Appellant's Brief must be filed wi | ithin the naried cat forth in | | | | |
| 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ≺ 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o ecause: | of the appeal. | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | | see NOTF below) | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | , | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 7-12 and 18-28</u> . | | • | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro | oved or b) disapproved by th | e Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Walt D. D. M. | | | | |
| | | Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner | | | | |

. 😘

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/942,830

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new added limitation "the group consisting of nickel, cobalt and iron" in claims 1, 12, 23, and 26 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Therefore, the amendment will not be entered.